UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,871	05/11/2006	Hiroshi Sato	060347	3219
	7590 06/04/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			UHLIR, CHRISTOPHER J	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,871	SATO, HIROSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER UHLIR	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6,9 and 10 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
<u> </u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/578,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 16, 2009 has been entered.

Claims 7 and 8 have been canceled without prejudice. Claims 1-6, 9, and 10 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 4 include the limitation that a detector is used for "detecting whether or not a key is already depressed at a time when a played key different from the depressed key is played". Since the detector determines whether or not a key is

depressed, the detected key may not be depressed. In such a case, there would be a lack of antecedent basis for 'the depressed key'. This limitation is interpreted as stating detecting whether or not a reference key is already depressed at a time when a played key different from the reference key is played".

These claims further include the limitation "the played key is played when not every other key of the keyboard is already depressed". It is unclear if applicant intends this limitation to mean that a key is played only when all non-adjacent keys are not depressed, or if a key is played only when all remaining keys are not depressed simultaneously. For examining purposes, this limitation is interpreted as meaning "the played key is played only when all remaining keys are not depressed simultaneously".

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, and 10 depend on claims 1 or 4 and therefore inherit all claimed limitations of the base claims. These claims do not further clarify the deficiencies of claims 1 and 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koseki et al. (US 5,804,751).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Koseki et al. discloses a resonance generation

device and method of an electronic musical instrument including a keyboard (1) having keys and a digital signal processing unit (column 3 lines 43-47), for artificially creating a resonance. A key depression state detector is disclosed to detect and determine whether or not a reference key is already depressed through key switches (5e) (column 4 lines 11-18) at a time when a played key different from the reference key is played, where the played key is played when all remaining keys are not depressed together (column 4 lines 60-64). A key is typically played when all remaining keys are not depressed simultaneously.

Koseki et al. further discloses a process for detecting a specific relation between played keys and depressed keys and a generator for generating musical sound of a predetermined musical sound based on the specific relation between said played key and reference key (column 2 lines 32-40).

In reference to claims 2 and 5, Koseki et al. discloses a device and method as stated above, where monaural resonances are generated through the use of a single analog signal and output through speakers (column 3 lines 47-51). Koseki et al. further discloses a variation in volume (sound intensity) according to the depressed key (column 4 lines 18-21).

In reference to claims 3 and 6, Koseki et al. discloses a device and method as stated above where the volume of the resonance based on the relation between the played key and the reference key is controlled (column 5 lines 65-67).

In reference to claims 9 and 10, Koseki et al. discloses a device and method as stated above, further including a computer program product including a computer

readable recording medium (column 3 lines 62-65) for executing a resonance generation method of an electronic musical instrument

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER UHLIR whose telephone number is (571)270-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00am-5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson can be reached on 571-272-2227.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CHRISTOPHER UHLIR/ Examiner, Art Unit 2837 May 18, 2009 Application/Control Number: 10/578,871 Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

/Jeffrey Donels/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832